

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/726,204 | 12/02/2003 | Akio Yamada | A36094 - 070793.0156 3312 | |
| 21003 | 7590 04/07/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | NGUYEN, TRUC T | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | -, - · · - · - · · · | | 2833 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/726,204 | YAMADA ET AL. | (SW) | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Truc T. T. Nguyen | 2833 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | ppears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | iress | | | |
| A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reproperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10. | . 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON and the of this communication, even if timely file. | imely filed ys will be considered timely, the mailing date of this core ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| , | <u> </u> | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-12,15 and 16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 and 15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 12, 16 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Applicat | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 10)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CF | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica onty documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion No /ed in this National S | Stage | | | |
| 2) Notice (3) Information | t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Date | -152) | | | |

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberto (US 4,975,068) in view of Lam (US 5,928,029).

Regarding claims 2, 4, 15, Roberto discloses a connector comprising:

a housing (1);

two flexible circuits (12) having contact areas (13);

pusher members (7);

fitting aperture (18);

insertion openings (36); and

a position means (4, 5).

Roberto substantially disclosed the claimed invention except the pushing member being a U-shaped member formed of a unitary part.

Lam teach a U-shaped unitary member (12).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the pushing member of Roberto by a U-shaped unitary pushing member, as taught by Lam for reducing assembling time.

Art Unit: 2833

Regarding claim 1,3 and 5, similarly to the rejection of claims 2, 4, 15, Roberto substantially disclosed the claimed invention except for there is a three flexible circuit.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the flexible circuits up to three flexible circuits, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

3. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberto (US 4,975,068), Lam (US 5,928,029) and further in view of Regnier (US 5,163,847).

The modified connector of Roberto substantially disclosed the claimed invention except the flexible circuit have slits.

Regnier disclose a circuit (10) having slit (16).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a slit into Roberto's flexible circuit, as taught by Regnier for proper connection.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12, 15-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2833

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 12 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record fails to teach the position means comprises flanges at longitudinal ends of the housing, each being provided with a pin for receiving an aperture of the flexible circuit.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2833

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T. T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2011. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800 extension 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Truc T. T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2833